



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/063,734	Ø5/2Ø/93	SIMONE	c	54264.000P00

23M1/0315

DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO & MORIN 2101 L ST., NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037

TEAM-EXAMPTOR				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
2311	11			

DATE MAILED:

03/15/95

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## ADVISORY ACTION

ADVISORY ACTION						
<b>□</b> /H	, IE PERIOD FOR RESPONSI	Ē:				
a) 🗌	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection			
b) [А]	expires three months from event however, will the state	the date of the final rejection or as of the ma utory period for the response expire later th	iling date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no an six months from the date of the final rejection.	•		
٠.	The date on which the resp purposes of determining the	onse, the petition, and the fee have been fi e period of extension and the corresponding	R 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fe ed is the date of the response and also the date for the amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFF story period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
	•	rdance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	en considered with the following effect, but it is not deeme			
to to	place the application in cond	ition for allowance:	en considered with the following effect, but it is not deeme	ю		
1.	The proposed amendments	to the claim and /or specification will not be	entered and the final rejection stands because:			
	a. There is no convincin presented.	g showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier			
_	b. They raise new issue	s that would require further consideration ar	d/or search. (See Note).			
	c. They raise the issue	of new matter. (See Note).				
	d. They are not deeme appeal.	d to place the application in better form for	appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
	e. They present addition	nal claims without cancelling a corresponding	g number of finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE:			_		
				_		
2.	Newly proposed or amend the non-allowable claims.	ed claims would be allo	wed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling	ng		
з. 🗆	Upon the filing an appeal, to be as follows:	he proposed amendment  will be entere	d [] will not be entered and the status of the claims will			
	Claims allowed:	None	<del></del>			
	Claims objected to:	None 1-8	<del></del>			
	However:					
	Applicant's response to	as overcome the following rejection(s):		-		
	/-			_ `~ ^		
4. 🔽	The affidavit, exhibit or req	uest for reconsideration has been considered argument made	d but does not overcome the rejection because apply on 10-12-94. The rejection because apply on 10-12-94.	ction of		
	15 maintain	ed Joy The Same	shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier	In the		
». <sub>Ш</sub>	presented.	iot de considered because applicant has no	./ 2 //			
☐ The	e proposed drawing correction	n 🔲 has 🗔 has not been approved by	the examiner.  Aul Huys			
D OI	her Previous D-	ffice action.	GAIL O. HAYES			
w .			SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN GROUP 2300	IER		
٠ ريما	1.11	· Nach	unuur 2300			

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

. 114